Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	nan/	09/996,129	SWEITZER ET AL.
Examiner-indated interview Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Srirama Channavajjala	2166
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>			
(1) <u>Srirama Channavajjala</u> .		(3)	
(2) Joseph T. Van Leeuwen, Registration No. 44,383. (4)			
Date of Interview: 8 February 2006		Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 1-20 Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENER	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
Bu .			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone was made to applicant's representative Attorney, Joseph T. Van Leeuwen, Registratiion No. 44,383 about the potential amendment to the claims, in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment.